



ISSN:0976-4933  
Journal of Progressive Science  
Vol.04, No.02, pp 191-197 (2013)

### 3-Contact CR – sub-manifolds of a manifold with LP-Sasakian 3-structure

Gajendra Singh

Department of Mathematics

G.L.A. College, Medininagar

Nilamber Pitamber University, Palamu, (Jharkhand), India

[gajendrasingh2@gmail.com](mailto:gajendrasingh2@gmail.com)

#### Abstract

*This paper has been divided into three sections starting with an introductory section. In second section we have established the necessary and sufficient conditions for a submanifold to be a 3-contact CR-submanifold. In the third section along with the integrability of distributions  $D$  and  $D^\perp$ , we have also discussed the cases when the submanifold is mixed totally geodesic or the leafes of the distributions are totally geodesic. Finally we have established some theorems by taking the canonical structures, defined for the 3-contact LP-submanifold to be parallel.*

**Keywords:** Lorentzian para-Sasakian 3-structure, 3 contact CR-Submanifold

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold equipped with a Lorentzian para – contact metric 3-structure  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) defined by Kuo (1970)

$$\eta_i(\xi_j) = -\delta_{ij} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\phi_i \xi_j = -\phi_j \xi_i = \xi_k \quad (1.2)$$

$$\eta_i \circ \phi_j = -\eta_j \circ \phi_i = \eta_k \quad (1.3)$$

$$\phi_i \phi_j - \eta_j \otimes \xi_i = -\phi_j \phi_i + \eta_i \otimes \xi_j = \phi_k \quad (1.4)$$

$$\phi_i^2 = I + \eta_i \otimes \xi_i \quad (1.5)$$

$$g(\phi_i x, \phi_i y) = g(x, y) + \eta_i(x) \eta_i(y) \quad (1.6)$$

$$\eta_i(x) = g(x, \xi_i) \quad (1.7)$$

for any cyclic permutation  $(i, j, k)$  of  $(1, 2, 3)$  where  $x, y$  are vector fields on  $M$ .  $M$  is called a manifold with a Lorentzian para – Sasakian (LP-Sasakian) 3-structure if

$$(\nabla_x \phi_i)(y) = (g(x, y) + \eta_i(x) \eta_i(y)) \xi_i + (x + \eta_i(x) \xi_i) \eta_i(y) \quad (1.8)$$

$$\nabla_x \xi_i = \phi_i x \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to metric  $g$  on  $M$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional submanifold isometrically immersed in  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. We assume that  $M$  is a tangent to  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$  which is subspace spanned by  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ .

The Gauss and weingarten formula are respectively given by

$$\nabla_x y = \nabla_x y + h(x, y) \quad (1.10)$$

$$\nabla_x N = -A_N x + D_x N. \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\nabla$  and  $D$  respectively denote the connection in the tangent and the normal bundle of  $M$  and the second fundamental forms  $A$  and  $h$  are related by

$$g(h(x, y), N) = g(A_N x, y). \quad (1.12)$$

**Definition** (Kobayashi, 1983)

A submanifold  $M$  of a manifold  $\bar{M}$  with a 3-structure is said to be 3-contact CR-submanifold if there exists a differentiable distribution  $D$  on  $M$  such that

$$\phi_i D \subset D \quad (1.13)$$

$$\phi_i D^\perp \subset T(M)^\perp \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad (1.14)$$

where  $D^\perp$  denote the orthogonal complementary distribution of  $D$ . We call  $D$  a horizontal distribution and  $D^\perp$  a vertical distribution.

## 2. 3-Contact CR-Submanifolds

Lemma (2.1) for a 3-contact CR-submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure,  $\xi_i \in D$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )

Proof Let  $Z \in \Gamma(D)^\perp$ , then (1.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(\xi_k, Z) &= g(\phi_i \xi_j, Z) \\ &= g(\xi_j, \phi_i Z) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $\xi_i \in D$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

For  $x \in \Gamma(T(M))$ , we put

$$\phi_i x = T_i x + F_i x \quad (2.1)$$

where  $T_i x$  are the tangent part and  $F_i x$  are the normal part of  $\phi_i x$ .

For  $N \in \Gamma(T(M)^\perp)$ , we put

$$\phi_i N = t_i N + f_i N \quad (2.2)$$

where  $t_i N$  are the tangent part and  $f_i N$  are the normal part of  $\phi_i N$ .

The relation  $g(\phi_i x, y) = g(x, \phi_i y)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) together with (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$g(T_i x, y) = g(x, T_i y) \quad (2.3)$$

$$g(f_i N_1, N_2) = g(N_1, f_i N_2) \quad (2.4)$$

$$g(F_i x, N) = g(x, t_i N) \quad (2.5)$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are tangent vector fields on  $M$  and  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are normal vector fields on  $M$ .

Putting  $x = \xi_i$  in (2.1), we get

$$F_i \xi_i = 0, T_i \xi_i = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

Putting  $x = \xi_j$  in (2.1), we get

$$T_i \xi_j = \xi_k, F_i \xi_j = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

Now operating (2.1) by  $\phi_i$  and separating the tangent and the normal parts, we get

$$T_i^2 x = x + \eta_i(x) \xi_i - t_i F_i x \quad (2.8)$$

$$F_i T_i x + f_i F_i x = O \quad (2.9)$$

Again operating (2.2) by  $\phi_i$  and separating the tangent and the normal parts, we get.

$$T_i t_i N + t_i f_i N = O \quad (2.10)$$

$$f_i^2 N + F_i t_i N = N \quad (2.11)$$

Now, operating (2.1) by  $\phi_j$  and separating the tangent and the normal parts we get.

$$T_i T_j x + t_i F_j x - \eta_i(x) \xi_j = T_k x \quad (2.12)$$

$$F_i T_j x + f_i F_j x = F_k x \quad (2.13)$$

Lastly, operating (2.2) by  $\phi_j$  and separating the tangent and the normal parts, we get

$$T_i t_j N + t_i f_j N = t_k N \quad (2.14)$$

$$F_i t_j N + f_i f_j N = f_k N. \quad (2.15)$$

**Lemma (2.2)** Let  $M$  be a 3-contact CR submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure, then

$$F_i T_i = O, f_i F_i = O \quad (2.16)$$

$$t_i f_i = O, T_i t_i = O \quad (2.17)$$

$$F_i T_j = O, f_i F_j = F_k \quad (2.18)$$

**Proof** Let us denote by  $l$  and  $m$ , the projection operators corresponding to  $D$  and  $D^\perp$  respectively.

Then we have,

$$l + m = I, lm = ml = 0, l^2 = l, m^2 = m \quad (2.19)$$

Then from (2.1), we have

$$\phi_i lx = T_i lx + F_i lx \quad (2.20)$$

$$\phi_i mx = T_i mx + F_i mx \quad (2.21)$$

Giving  $mT_i l = 0, F_i l = 0$  and  $T_i m = 0$ . Hence we have

$$T_i l = T_i (I-m) = T_i - T_i m = T_i \quad (2.22)$$

Putting  $lx$  for  $x$  in (2.9) and using (2.22), we get (2.16). Next putting  $f_i N$  for  $N$  in (2.5) and using (2.4) and (2.10), we get  $t_i f_i = 0$  and hence (2.10) gives  $T_i t_i = 0$ . Putting  $lx$  for  $x$  in (2.13), we get  $F_i T_j = 0$  and hence  $f_i F_j = F_k$ .

With the help of Lemma (2.2) we can easily prove the following

**Theorem (2.1)** Let  $M$  be a submanifold of manifold  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. Then  $M$  is a 3-contact CR-submanifold if and only if

$$F_i T_j = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3) \quad (2.23)$$

or

$$f_i F_i = 0 \text{ and } f_i F_j = F_k. \quad (2.24)$$

**Theorem (2.2)** Let  $M$  be a 3-contact CR-submanifold of  $M$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. Then  $T_i$  and  $f_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are para  $f$ -structures in  $M$  and its normal bundle respectively.

**Proof:** Applying  $\phi_i$  to (2.1) and using (1.5), (2.1), (2.2), (2.16) and (2.17), we get

Putting  $x = T_i x$  and using (2.6) we get

$$T_i^3 - T_i = 0 \quad (2.25)$$

Again applying  $\phi_i$  to (2.2) and using (1.5), (2.1), (2.2), (2.16) and (2.17)

$$N = f_i^2 N$$

Putting  $f_i N$  for  $N$ , we get  $f_i^3 - f_i = 0$ .

### 3. Integrability of Distributions $D$ and $D^\perp$

In the equation of Gauss, putting  $y = \xi_i$ , we get

$$\nabla_x \xi_i = T_i x, h(x, \xi_i) = F_i x \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma (3.1)**- In a 3-contact CR-submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. We have

$$(\nabla_x T_i)(y) = g(\phi_i x, \phi_i y) \xi_i + \{x + \eta_i(x) \xi_i\} \eta_i(y) + t_i h(x, y) + A_{F_i} x \quad (3.2)$$

$$(\nabla_x F_i)(y) = f_i h(x, y) - h(x, T_i y) \quad (3.3)$$

$$(\nabla_x t_i)(N) = A_{F_i} N - T_i A_N x \quad (3.4)$$

$$(\nabla_x f_i)(N) = -h(x, t_i N) - F_i A_N x \quad (3.5)$$

for any  $x, y \in T(TM)$  and  $N \in \Gamma(TM)^\perp$

**Proof** Differentiating (2.1) along  $x$  and using (1.8), and separating the tangent and the normal parts,

We respectively get (3.2) and (3.3).

Similarly differentiating (2.2) along  $x$  and using (1.8), we get (3.4) and (3.5)

**Lemma (3.2)** For  $z, W \in D^\perp$ , we have

$$A_{F_i} z + A_{F_i} W = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$A_N \phi_i y = A_{\phi_i N} y \text{ for } y \in \Gamma(D) \text{ and } z \in \Gamma(D^\perp). \quad (3.7)$$

**Proof**

For any  $x \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$\nabla_x \phi_i z = -A_{\phi_i z} x + D_x \phi_i z \text{ for } z \in \Gamma(D^\perp).$$

We also have

$$\nabla_x \phi_i z = (\nabla_x \phi_i)(z) + \phi_i \nabla_x z$$

Using (1.1) and equating the above two equations, we get

$$g(\phi_i h(x, z), W) = -g(A_{\phi_i z} x, W), W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$$

i.e.  $g(A_{\phi_i W} z + A_{\phi_i z} W, x) = 0$  which gives (3.6).

Now, for  $x \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $y \in \Gamma(D)$ , we have

$$g(\nabla_x \phi_i y, N) = g(h(x, \phi_i y), N)$$

and

$$g(\nabla_x \phi_i y, N) = g(\nabla_x \phi_i)(y) + \phi_i \nabla_x y, N)$$

Hence giving

$$g(A_N \phi_i y, x) = g(A_{\phi_i N} y, x)$$

That is (3.7) hold good

**Theorem (3.1)** Let  $M$  be a 3-contract CR-submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure, then the horizontal distribution  $D$  is integrable if and only if

$$h(x, T_i y) = h(y, T_i x) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \Gamma(D). \quad (3.8)$$

**Proof**

For  $x, y \in \Gamma(D)$ , using (3.3), we have.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i[x, y] &= T_i[x, y] + F_i[x, y] \\ &= T_i[x, y] + h(x, T_i y) - h(y, T_i x) \end{aligned}$$

From which we have our assertion.

**Theorem** (3.2) Let  $M$  be a 3-contract CR-submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. Then  $D^\perp$  is integrable if and only if  $g(h(y, z), F_i x) = 0$  (3.9)

for any  $x, y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $z \in \Gamma(D)$

**Proof :** Taking  $x, y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  in (3.2), we obtain

$$-T_i \nabla_x y = g(x, y) \xi_i + t_i h(x, y) + A_{F_i y} x. \quad (3.10)$$

from (3.10) follows

$$A_{F_i x} y - A_{F_i y} x = T_i[x, y] \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.6), we get

$$2 A_{F_i x} y = T_i[x, y] \quad (3.12)$$

For  $z \in \Gamma(D)$ , (3.12) gives

$$2g(h(y, z), F_i x) = g([x, y], T_i z). \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.13), it follows that  $D^\perp$  is integrable if and only if (3.9) holds.

**Theorem** (3.3) Let  $M$  be a 3-contract CR-submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. If  $D^\perp$  is integrable then each leaf of  $D^\perp$  is totally geodesic immersed in  $M$ .

**Proof** For  $x \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $y \in \Gamma(D)$ , (3.3) gives.

$$F_i \nabla_x y = f_i h(x, y) - h(x, T_i y) \quad (3.14)$$

For any  $z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ , (3.18) gives

$$g(F_i \nabla_x y, F_i z) = g(f_i h(x, y), F_i z) - g(h(x, T_i y), F_i z). \quad (3.15)$$

Which with the help of (1.6), (2.4) and (3.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(y, \nabla_x z) &= -g(\nabla_x y, z) \\ &= -g(h(x, y), f_i F_i z) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the assertion.

**Theorem** (3.4) Let  $M$  be a 3-contact CR-submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. If  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are parallel, then  $M$  is an invariant submanifold.

**Proof** By Theorem (3.1), we have

$$F_j T_i = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

For any  $S \in \Gamma(TM)$ , (2.3) and (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(T_i t_j N, S) &= g(t_j N, T_i S) \\ &= g(N, F_j T_i S) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Implying that  $T_i t_j N = 0$  and hence by (2.14), we get

$$t_i f_j = t_k \quad (3.17)$$

Since  $t_i$  are parallel, putting  $x = \xi_j$  in (3.4), we get

$$A_{f_N} \xi_j - T_i A_N \xi_j = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

For any  $U \in \Gamma(TM)$ , (3.18) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(h(\xi_j, U), f_i N) - g(h(\xi_j, T_i U), N) \\ &= g(F_j U, f_i N) \text{ [since } h(\xi_j, T_i U) = F_j T_i U = 0] \\ &= g(U, t_j f_i N) \\ &= -g(U, t_k N) = g(F_k U, N) \end{aligned}$$

from which we have  $F_k U = 0$  showing that  $M$  is an invariant submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem (3.5)** Let  $M$  be a 3-contact CR-submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. Then  $T_i$  can not be parallel.  $\square$

**Proof** If  $T_i$  are parallel, then putting  $y = \xi_i$  in (3.2) and using (1.1) and (3.1), we get

$$x + \eta_i(x) \xi_i + t_i F_i x = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

Now putting  $x = \xi_j$  ( $j \neq i$ ) in (3.19) and using (1.1), we get  $\xi_j = 0$ . Hence we get a contradiction i.e.  $T_i$  can not be parallel.

**Theorem (3.6)** Let  $M$  be a 3-contact CR-submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  with LP-Sasakian 3-structure. Then  $F_i$  are parallel if and only if  $t_i$  are parallel.  $\square$

**Proof** For any  $y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , (3.3) and (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_x t_i)(N), y) &= g(A_{f_N} x - T_i A_N x, y) \\ &= g(h(x, y), f_i N) - g(h(x, T_i y), N) \\ &= g(f_i h(x, y) - h(x, T_i y), N) \\ &= g(\nabla_x F_i)(y), N) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Showing that  $F_i$  are parallel if and only if  $t_i$  are parallel

## References

- (1) Bejancu,A.(1982). Umbilical semi-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *Tensor, N.S.*, Vol. 37: 203-213.
- (2) Matsumoto, K. and Mihai, Ion (1988). On a Certain transformation in a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. *Tensor N.S.*, Vol. 47:189-197.
- (3) Mastumoto, K. (1989). On Lorentzian para-contact manifolds. Bulletin of the Yamagata University, *Yamagata, Japan*, Vol. 12: 2.
- (4) Kobayashi,M. (1983). 3-contact CR-submanifolds of manifold with Sasakian 3-structure. *Tensor N.S.* Vol. 40: 57-69.
- (5) Kuo, Y.Y. (1970). On almost contact 3-structure, *Tohoku, Math. J.* 22 : 325-332.

Received on 13.10.2013 and accepted 25.12.2013