



ISSN:0976-4933
Journal of Progressive Science
Vol.07, No.01&02, pp 75-80 (2016)

Decomposition of curvature tensor fields in a tachibana first order recurrent space

K.S. Rawat and Kunwar Singh

Departement of Mathematics, H.N.B. Garhwal University Campus
Badshahi Thaul, Tehri (Garhwal) - 249199
Uttarakhand, INDIA

Email: drksrawathnbg@gmail.com

Abstract

Takano (1967), have been studied decomposition of curvature tensor in a recurrent space. Sinha and Singh (1970), have been studied and defined decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor field in a Finsler space. Singh and Negi (1985), studied on decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian space. Further, Negi and Rawat (1995), studied decomposition in a Kaehlerian recurrent space. Rawat and Silswal (2007), studied decomposition of recurrent curvature fields in a Tachibana space. In the present paper, we considered the decomposition of curvature tensor fields R_{ijk}^h in terms of four non-zero vectors in a Tachibana first order recurrent space. Several theorems also have been established and proved.

Key Words: Recurrent space Curvature tensor, Tachibana Space, First Order.

1.Introduction. An almost Tachibana space is an Almost Hermite space (F_i^h, g_{ij}) , where F_i^h is an Almost complex structure and g_{ij} is the Hermite metric such that

$$F_{i,j}^h + F_{j,i}^h =, \quad \dots (1.1)$$

where the comma (,) followed by an index denotes the operator of covariant differentiation w. r. t. to the Riemannian metric tensor g_{ij} .

In an Almost Tachibana space [10], we have

$$N_{j,i}^h = -4(F_{i,j}^a)F_a^h, \quad \dots (1.2)$$

where $F_{i,j}^h$ is pure in i and j and N_j^h is Nijenhuis tensor (Yano, 1965), when the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes, the almost Tachibana space is called a Tachibana space and denoted by T_n . A Tachibana space is called Tachibana recurrent space of first order by Lal and Singh, 1971, if its curvature tensor field satisfy the condition

$$R_{ijk,a}^h = \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h$$

For some non-zero vector λ_a and called the vector of recurrence.

2. DECOMPOSITION OF RECURRENT CURVATURE TENSOR FIELD R_{ijk}^h

We consider the decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor field R_{ijk}^h in the following form

$$R_{ijk}^h = v^h X_i \phi_j \psi_k, \quad \dots (2.1)$$

Where the vectors v^h, X_i, ϕ_j and ψ_k are such that

$$\lambda_h v^h = 1. \quad \dots (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.1 Under the decomposition (2.1), the Bianchi identity for R_{ijk}^h takes the forms

$$X_i \phi_j \psi_k + X_j \phi_k \psi_i + X_k \phi_i \psi_j = 0, \quad \dots (2.3)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \lambda_a \phi_j \psi_k + \lambda_j \phi_k \psi_a + \lambda_k \phi_a \psi_j = 0. \quad \dots (2.4)$$

Proof: From (2.1), we have

$$X_i \phi_j \psi_k + X_j \phi_k \psi_i + X_k \phi_i \psi_j = 0 \quad \dots (2.5)$$

(since $v^h \neq 0$)

From (1.3) and (2.1), we have

$$v^h X_i [\lambda_a \phi_j \psi_k + \lambda_j \phi_k \psi_a + \lambda_k \phi_a \psi_j] = 0, \quad \dots (2.6)$$

Multiplying (2.6) by λ_k and using (2.2), we get

$$X_i [\lambda_a \phi_j \psi_k + \lambda_j \phi_k \psi_a + \lambda_k \phi_a \psi_j] = 0 \quad \dots (2.7)$$

(since $X_i \neq 0$)

$$\text{Or} \quad \lambda_a \phi_j \psi_k + \lambda_j \phi_k \psi_a + \lambda_k \phi_a \psi_j = 0$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.2 Under the decomposition (2.1), the tensor fields R_{ijk}^h, R_{ij} and vectors X_i, ϕ_j and ψ_k satisfies the relations

$$\lambda_a R_{ijk}^a = \lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} = X_i \phi_j \psi_k \quad \dots (2.8)$$

Proof: With the help of Bianchi Identity, equation (1.3) yields

$$\lambda_a R_{ijk}^a = \lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} \quad \dots (2.9)$$

Multiplying (2.1) by λ_h and using relation (2.2), we get

$$\lambda_h R_{ijk}^a = X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k \quad \dots (2.10)$$

From equation (2.9) and (2.10) we get the required relation (2.8).

Theorem 2.3 Under the decomposition (2.1), the quantities λ_a and v^h behave like the recurrent vectors, the recurrent form of these quantities are given by

$$\lambda_{a,m} = \mu_m \lambda_a \quad \dots (2.11)$$

$$\text{And } v_{,m}^h = -\mu_m v^h \quad \dots (2.12)$$

Proof: Differentiating (2.9) covariantly w. r. to x^m and using (2.1) and (2.8), we have

$$\lambda_{a,m} v^a X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k = \lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik} \quad \dots (2.13)$$

Multiplying (2.13) by λ_a and using (2.1) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\lambda_{a,m} (\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik}) = \lambda_a (\lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}) \quad \dots (2.14)$$

Now, multiplying equation (2.14) by λ_h , we get

$$\lambda_{a,m} (\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik}) \lambda_h = \lambda_a \lambda_h (\lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}) \quad \dots (2.15)$$

Since the expression on the R.H.S. of the above equation is symmetric in a and h , therefore

$$\lambda_{a,m} \lambda_h = \lambda_{h,m} \lambda_a \quad \dots (2.16)$$

Provided that

$$\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} \neq 0$$

The vector field λ_a being non-zero, we can have a proportion vector μ_m such that

$$\lambda_{a,m} = \mu_m \lambda_a \quad \dots (2.17)$$

Further, differentiating the equation (2.2) w. r. to x^m and using relation (2.11), we get

$$v_{,m}^h = -\mu_m v^h \quad (\text{since } \lambda_h \neq 0) \quad \dots (2.18)$$

This proves the theorem

Theorem 2.4 Under the decomposition (2.1), the vectors $X_{,i}$, ϕ_j and ψ_k satisfies the relations

$$(\lambda_h + \mu_m) X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k = X_{,im} \phi_j \psi_k + X_{,i} \phi_{j,m} \psi_k + X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_{k,m} \quad \dots (2.19)$$

Proof: Differentiating (2.1) covariantly w. r. to x^m and using (1.3), (2.1) and (2.12), we obtain the required result (2.19).

Theorem 2.5 Under the decomposition (2.1), the curvature tensor and holomorphically projective curvature tensor are equal if

$$\phi_k \psi_m \{ (X_{,i} \delta_j^h - X_{,j} \delta_i^h) + X_{,l} (F_i^l F_j^h - F_j^l F_i^h) \} + 2 F_i^l F_k^h X_{,l} \phi_j \psi_k = 0 \quad \dots (2.20)$$

Proof: The holomorphically projective curvature tensor field P_{ijk}^h is defined by

$$P_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + \frac{1}{(n+2)}(R_{ik}\delta_j^h - R_{jk}\delta_i^h + S_{ik}F_j^h - S_{jk}F_i^h + 2S_{ij}F_k^h), \quad \dots (2.21)$$

which may be written in the form

$$P_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + D_{ijk}^h, \quad \dots (2.22)$$

where

$$D_{ijk}^h = \frac{1}{(n+2)}(R_{ik}\delta_j^h - R_{jk}\delta_i^h + S_{ik}F_j^h - S_{jk}F_i^h + 2S_{ij}F_k^h) \quad \dots (2.23)$$

Contracting indices h and k in (2.1), we have

$$R_{ij} = v^k X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k \quad \dots (2.24)$$

In view of (2.24), we have

$$S_{ij} = F_i^l v^m X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k \quad \dots (2.25)$$

Making use of (2.24) and (2.25) in equation (2.23), we have

$$D_{ijk}^h = \frac{1}{(n+2)}[v^m \phi_k \psi_m (X_{,i} \delta_j^h - X_{,j} \delta_i^h) + v^m X_{,l} \phi_k \psi_m (F_i^l F_j^h - F_j^l F_i^h) + 2F_i^l F_k^h X_{,l} m \phi_j \psi_m] \quad \dots (2.26)$$

From equation (2.22), it is clear that

$$P_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h \text{ if } D_{ijk}^h = 0, \text{ which in view of equation (2.26) becomes} \\ v^m \phi_k \psi_m (X_{,i} \delta_j^h - X_{,j} \delta_i^h) + v^m X_{,l} \phi_k \psi_m (F_i^l F_j^h - F_j^l F_i^h) + 2F_i^l F_k^h X_{,l} m \phi_j \psi_m = 0 \dots (2.27)$$

Multiplying the above equation by λ_m and using relation (2.2), we obtain the required condition (2.20).

Theorem 2.6 Under the decomposition (2.1), the scalar curvature R, satisfy the relation

$$\lambda_k R = g^{ij} X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k \quad \dots (2.28)$$

Proof: Contracting indices h and k in (2.1), we get

$$R_{ij} = v^k X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k \quad \dots (2.29)$$

Multiplying (2.29) by g^{ij} on both sides, we get

$$g^{ij} R_{ij} = g^{ij} v^k X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k \quad \dots (2.30)$$

Or

$$R = g^{ij} v^k X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k \quad (R = g^{ij} R_{ij}) \quad \dots (2.31)$$

Now, multiplying (2.31) by λ_k and using (2.2), we get

$$\lambda_k R = g^{ij} X_{,i} \phi_j \psi_k,$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

References

1. Lal, K.B. and Singh, S.S. (1971). On Kaehlerian space with recurrent Bochner Curvature, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. cl. Fis. Mat. Nat. (Ser.8) 51 213-220.
2. Negi, D.S. and Rawat, K.S. (1995). Decomposition in Kaehlerian recurrent space, Acta Cien. Indica, 21 M (2): 157-162.

3. Negi, D.S. and Rawat, K.S. (1995). Decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian space, *Acta Cien. Indica*, 21 M(2): 139-142.
4. Negi, D.S. and Rawat, K.S. (1995). On decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian space, *Acta Cien. Indica*, 21M(2): 151-154.
5. Negi, D.S. and K.S. Rawat (1997). The study of decomposition in a Kaehlerian space, *Acta Cien. Indica*, 23 m, No.4, 307-511.
6. Rawat, K.S (2005). The decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian space, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, Vol. XXXI M, No. 1, 135-138.
7. Rawat, K.S. and G.P. Silswal (2007). Decomposition of Recurrent curvature fields in a Tachibana space, *J. PAS*, 13 (ser. A), 13-17.
8. Rawat, K.S. and Girish Dobhal (2007). Some theorems on Decomposition in Tachibana recurrent space, *J. PAS* 13, (Ser. A), 458-464.
9. Rawat, K.S. and Girish Dobhal (2010). The Study of Tachibana bi-recurrent spaces, *Antarctica Jour. Math.* , 7(4): 413-420.
10. Rawat, K.S. and Kunwar Singh (2009). The study of decomposition of curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian recurrent space of first order, *Jour. of the tensor Society*, 3(11-28): MR 2567069.
11. Rawat, K.S. and Mukesh Kumar (2009). On curvature collineations in a Tachibana recurrent space, *Aligarh Bull. Math.*, 28(1-2): 63-69 MR 2769016.
12. Rawat, K.S. and Mukesh Kumar (2011). On hyper surfaces of a Conformally flat Kaehlerian recurrent space, *Pure & Applied Mathematika Sciences*, LXXIII (1-2): 7-13 .
13. Rawat, K.S. and Nitin Uniyal (2010). On infinitesimal Conformal and projective transformations of K-spaces and Kaehlerian recurrent space, *Int. Trans. in Mathematical Science and Compt.* , 3(2): 205-213 .
14. Rawat, K.S. and Nitin Uniyal (2011). On conformal transformation in an Almost Kaehlerian and Kaehlerian spaces, *Jour. of Progressive Science*, 2 (2): 138-141 .
15. Rawat, K.S. and Virendra Prasad (2009). On Lie –derivatives of Scalars, Vectors and Tensors, *Pure and Applied Mathematika Sciences*, Vol. LXX, No. 1-2, 135-140 Sept.
16. Rawat, K.S. and Virendra Prasad (2010). Einstein – Kaehlerian recurrent space of second order, *Jour. of the Tensor Society*, Vol. 4, 87-92 .
17. Rawat, K.S. and Virendra Prasad (2010). On holomorphically Projectively flat parabolically Kaehlerian spaces, *Rev. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 18(1), 21-26.
18. Rawat. K.S. and Nitin Uniyal (2010). Study on Kaehlerian recurrent and Symmetric spaces of second order, *Jour. Of the Tensor Society*, Vol. 4, 69-76 .
19. Singh, A.K. and D.S. Negi (1985). On decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in a Kaehlerian space *J. India Math. Soc.* 49 113-118.

20. Sinha, B.B. and S.P. Singh (1970). On decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in Finsler space, *Bull, Cal. Math. Soc.*, 6291-96.
21. Tachibana, S. (1967). On the Bochner curvature tensor, Nat. Sci. Report, Ochanomizu Univ., 18(1), 15 -19.
22. Takano, K. (1967). Decomposition of curvature tensor in a recurrent space, *Tensor* (N.S.) 18, N.3 343 -347.
23. Yano, K. (1965). Differential Geometry on Complex and almost Complex spaces, Pergamon Press .

Received on 26.08.2016 and accepted on 28.02.2017